BRAND: INTEL

Date: 25 July 2024

Based on the provided "Intel Corporate Responsibility Report 2023-24," here is an evaluation of Intel's corporate biodiversity performance using the specified DeTrust Lab Biodiversity Methodology:

Stage 1: Biodiversity Pressures and Priority Areas (30%)

1. Summary of Biodiversity Pressures (15%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report primarily focuses on Intel's sustainability efforts related to reducing carbon emissions, water stewardship, and promoting renewable energy. There is mention of general environmental impacts but not a detailed summary of specific biodiversity pressures caused by their operations.

2. Priority Species, Habitats, and Ecosystem Services (15%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report includes initiatives such as water conservation and habitat restoration projects but does not provide a comprehensive list of priority species, habitats, or ecosystem services that Intel focuses on. The efforts are more general environmental initiatives rather than targeted biodiversity projects.

Stage 2: Vision, Goals, and Strategies (40%)

1. Corporate Biodiversity Vision (10%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** Intel's vision includes broad environmental sustainability goals such as achieving net-zero emissions and promoting renewable energy. While there is a general commitment to environmental conservation, a distinct and detailed vision specifically for biodiversity is not articulated in the report.

2. Scalable Biodiversity Goals and Objectives (15%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report outlines goals related to reducing environmental impact, such as reducing water usage and achieving net positive water. However, these goals are not specific or measurable regarding direct biodiversity outcomes.

3. Key Strategies to Deliver Goals and Objectives (15%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** Intel employs strategies such as water stewardship and pollution prevention. These strategies are linked to broader sustainability goals but do not specifically address biodiversity conservation in a detailed manner.

Stage 3: Indicator Framework and Strategic Plan (20%)

1. Framework of Core Indicators (10%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report includes various sustainability indicators related to energy use, emissions, and water management but lacks a comprehensive framework specifically for biodiversity indicators (e.g., species abundance, habitat quality).

2. Elements of a Biodiversity Strategic Plan (10%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** While the report mentions several strategic actions, it lacks a detailed biodiversity-specific strategic plan. A comprehensive plan with clear actions, timelines, and biodiversity metrics would improve this area.

Stage 4: Monitoring and Reporting (10%)

1. Monitoring Plan (5%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report indicates some monitoring activities related to environmental sustainability, such as tracking water usage and emissions. However, it lacks a detailed biodiversity monitoring plan. Specific indicators, data collection methods, and responsibilities should be detailed.

2. Database of Relevant Data (2.5%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** There is no mention of a dedicated biodiversity database integrating multiple relevant data sources to track biodiversity indicators comprehensively.

3. Monitoring and Reporting Systems (2.5%)

- **Score: 2**
- **Justification:** The report lacks detailed information on standardized biodiversity monitoring and reporting systems. Developing systems to present biodiversity data in formats like maps or dashboards would be beneficial.

Summary of Scores

Stage	Sub-element	Weight	Score (0-5)	Weighted Score
Stage 1	Biodiversity Pressures and Priority Areas	30%		
	Summary of biodiversity pressures	15%	2	0.30
	Priority species and habitats	15%	2	0.30
Stage 2	Vision, Goals, and Strategies	40%		
	Corporate biodiversity vision	10%	2	0.20
	Scalable goals and objectives	15%	2	0.30
	Key strategies	15%	2	0.30
Stage 3	Indicator Framework and Strategic Plan	20%		
	Framework of core indicators	10%	2	0.20
	Elements of a strategic plan	10%	2	0.20
Stage 4	Monitoring and Reporting	10%		
	Monitoring plan	5%	2	0.10
	Database of relevant data	2.5%	2	0.05
	Monitoring and reporting systems	2.5%	2	0.05
Total	**100%**			**2.00**

Concluding Summary

- **Total Weighted Score: 2.00 out of 5**
- **Overall Justification:** Intel demonstrates a basic level of commitment to environmental sustainability, with significant efforts in reducing greenhouse gas emissions, water stewardship, and promoting renewable energy. However, the approach to biodiversity is not detailed or systematic. The main strengths lie in general environmental sustainability efforts, while specific biodiversity strategies, goals, and monitoring systems need significant development to enhance overall biodiversity performance. Improvements in setting clear, measurable biodiversity goals, developing a comprehensive strategic plan, and implementing robust monitoring and reporting systems are recommended.